1 2

DELEGATE GLEASON: Mr. Chairman, if the word

"except" stays in the amendment, then as I read

the amendment, if it is approved and added to this

sentence, it would provide that no person shall hold more

than one office of profit, except as may be provided by law

which would give the General Assembly the power to allow in

certain cases people to hold two offices of profit.

I don't think that is the sponsor's intention, and therefore, if he removed and eliminated the word "except" it will carry out what I think is his intention.

THE CHAIRMAN: How would you understand the sentence to be construed if you took out the word "except"?

DELEGATE GLEASON: If we took out the word "except", then I would say that the General Assembly has within its power the ability and the authority to define what is an office of profit, and that is what has been the troublesome thing over the years.

THE CHAIRMAN: I take it the difference is the amendment as proffered would mean that two offices could not be held unless the General Assembly provided otherwise, and as you suggest it be changed, it would provide that two offices could not be held if the General Assembly